



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/681,467

10/06/2003

San Zhuang Wang

042715-5066-US

7484

9629 7590 03/07/2011
MORGAN LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP
1111 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE NW
WASHINGTON, DC 20004

EXAMINER

LEE, EDMUND H

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1744

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

03/07/2011

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/681,467	Applicant(s) WANG, SAN	
	Examiner EDMUND H. LEE	Art Unit 1744	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 December 2010.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 32-41 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 32-41 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) ☐ Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 12/21/10 has been entered.

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

3. Claims 32-39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by WO 91/01225. WO 91/01225 teaches the claimed one-piece hollow cylindrical invertible elastomeric master as evidenced at pg 1, lns 3-13; pg 4, lns 6-10; pg 7, ln 1-pg 8, ln 28, and pg 9, lns 6-11; and figs 2-4. It should be noted that cylindrical layer 28, which has an embossed refractive image thereon, constitutes the claimed one-piece cylindrical seamless master having a seamless integral light-shaping diffuser surface. In regard to the light-shaping diffuser having a plurality of microstructures projecting therefrom, WO 91/01225 teaches molding a light-shaping diffuser having microscopic relief images thereon (pg 1, lns 4-5); and using granular materials such as talc or sand, which inherently have irregular dimensions, to form the microscopic relief pattern (pg 9, lns 5-

Art Unit: 1744

10). It should be noted that the use of granular materials to form the relief pattern would inherently appear chaotic or irregular. It should be noted that the inherent irregular size and shape of the granular materials creates the claimed preselected irregular speckle pattern.

Applicant is reminded that 1) product by process claims are not limited to the manipulations of the recited steps, only the structure implied by the steps, and 2) determination of the patentability is based on the product itself (MPEP 2113). Since the limitations of claims 33-39 are drawn to the process steps and not the structure of the final product, they have not been given patentable weight.

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claim 40-41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over WO 91/01225. The above teachings of WO 91/01225 are incorporated hereinafter. In regard to claim 40, the claimed thickness is well-known in the hollow cylindrical elastomeric member art. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to set the thickness of the member to the claimed thickness in order to reduce material costs without compromising quality and effectiveness. In regard to claim 41, the claimed pattern is well-known in the roller art. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the

Art Unit: 1744

invention was made to design the master of WO 91/01225 with the claimed pattern in order to produce masters with diverse patterns.

6. Applicant's arguments filed 12/21/10 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The declaration under 37 CFR 1.132 filed 12/21/10 is insufficient to overcome the rejection of claims 32-41 based upon *showing is not commensurate in scope with the claims* as set forth in the last Office action because: It refer(s) only to the system described in the above referenced application and not to the individual claims of the application. Thus, there is no showing that the objective evidence of nonobviousness is commensurate in scope with the claims. See MPEP § 716.

The declaration mere declares that the speckle patterns of the claimed invention and WO 91/01225 are different. There is no mention that the speckle pattern is the pattern of the inverted, hollow cylindrical elastomeric member that is the result of performing the claimed process steps.

Also, the declaration cites that the speckle pattern of WO 91/01225 is from sandblasting; however WO 91/01225 does not teach sandblasting the pattern.

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. USPN 6078426 teaches light-shaping diffusers that use irregular microscopic materials to form the effects/patterns (col 1, lns 38-49) .

Art Unit: 1744

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to EDMUND H. LEE whose telephone number is 571.272.1204. The examiner can normally be reached on MONDAY-THURSDAY FROM 9AM-4PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Yogendra Gupta can be reached on 571.272.1316. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

EDMUND H. LEE
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1744

EHL
/EDMUND H. LEE/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1744